| 1 | | |
|----|---|--------------------------------|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | |
| 8 | EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | |
| 9 | | |
| 10 | PHILLIP PATINO, | 1:14-cv-02040-BAM |
| 11 | Plaintiff, | ORDER GRANTING APPLICATION |
| 12 | v. | TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS |
| 13 | AUDREY KING, | (ECF No. 2) |
| 14 | Defendant. | (ECF No. 2) |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | Plaintiff is a civil detainee proceeding pro se in a civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. | |
| 18 | § 1983. Individuals detained pursuant to California Welfare and Institutions Code § 6600 et seq. | |
| 19 | are civil detainees and are not prisoners within the meaning of the Prison Litigation Reform Act. | |
| 20 | Page v. Torrey, 201 F.3d 1136, 1140 (9th Cir. 2000) | |
| 21 | In the instant action, plaintiff filed an application to proceed in forma pauperis. | |
| 22 | Examination of these documents reveals that plaintiff is unable to afford the costs of this action. | |
| 23 | Accordingly, the motion to proceed in forma pauperis is GRANTED. | |
| 24 | III II | |
| 25 | IT IS SO ORDERED. | |
| 26 | Dated: January 15, 2015 | /s/Barbara A. McAuliffe |
| 27 | | UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE |
| 28 | | |
| | I | 4 |