1		
2		
3		
4		
5	UNITED ST	TATES DISTRICT COURT
6	EASTERN D	DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
7		
8	JEREMY JONES,) Case No. 1:14-cv-02045-DAD-SAB (PC)
9	Plaintiff,	ORDER STRIKING PLAINTIFF'S RESPONSE
10	v.	TO DEFENDANTS' REPLY TO PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION TO SUMMARY JUDGMENT
11	JIMENEZ, et al.,) [ECF No. 129]
12	Defendants.)
13)
14		
15	Plaintiff Jeremy Jones is appearing pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action	
16	pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983.	
17	On February 25, 2019, Plaintiff filed a response to Defendant's reply to Plaintiff's opposition	
18	to Defendants' motion for summary judgment. (ECF No. 129.) The Court construes this filing as	
19	surreply. No further briefing on Defendant's motion for summary judgment is permitted absent leave	
20	of court. The Court did not grant Plaintiff leave to file a surreply, and no further briefing on the	
21	motion is warranted. Accordingly, Plaintiff's surreply (ECF No. 129) is HEREBY STRICKEN from	
22	the record.	
23		
24	IT IS SO ORDERED.	C_{1}
25	Dated: February 27, 2019	Jung A. Lave
26		UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
~_		