

1 do not encompass a right to have evidence tested for fingerprints or subjected to similar scientific
2 analysis); Freitas v. Auger, 837 F.2d 806, 812 n.13 (8th Cir. 1988) (inmate not entitled to take a
3 polygraph examination addressing whether the participated in planning or furthering an escape);
4 Miller v. Brown, No 07-2020 (JLL), 2007 WL 1876506, at *8 (D.N.J. June 26, 2007) (allegations that
5 prison officials rejected an inmate’s demands for fingerprinting and polygraph testing did not state a
6 due process claim); Liebers v. Clarke, No. 4:03CV3322, 2005 WL 2347270, at *3 (D. Neb. Sept. 26,
7 2005); Hamilton v. Scott, 762 S.Supp. 794, 802 (N.D. Ill. 1991) (rejecting an inmate’s claims that his
8 due process rights were violated when prison staff “ignored” his request for a fingerprint analysis of a
9 weapon and a polygraph examination because the inmate “had no constitutional right to the grant of
10 his request for ‘scientific’ testing to establish non-ownership of the weapon”).

11 Accordingly, Plaintiff’s motion to obtain and submit a polygraph examination is DENIED.

12
13 IT IS SO ORDERED.

14 Dated: September 10, 2019


UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28