

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9

10
11 MOHAMED ABDALLA,
12 Petitioner,
13 v.

14 STU SHERMAN, Warden,
15 Respondent.
16

Case No. 1:14-cv-02070-BAM-HC

ORDER GRANTING PETITIONER'S
APPLICATION TO PROCEED IN FORMA
PAUPERIS ON APPEAL (DOC. 8)

17
18 Petitioner is a state prisoner who proceeded pro se with a
19 petition for writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254.
20 Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c)(1), Petitioner consented to the
21 jurisdiction of the United States Magistrate Judge to conduct all
22 further proceedings in the case, including the entry of final
23 judgment, by manifesting Petitioner's consent in a writing signed by
24 Petitioner and filed on January 16, 2015. On January 28, 2015, the
25 Court dismissed the petition for failure to state facts warranting
26 habeas corpus relief. Pending before the Court is Petitioner's
27 motion to proceed in forma pauperis on appeal, which was filed on
28 February 20, 2015.

1 Because Petitioner has not filed a notice of appeal, it is not
2 clear that Petitioner intends to appeal from the dismissal.
3 However, in an abundance of caution, the Court will consider
4 Petitioner's motion.

5 A party who was permitted to proceed in forma pauperis in the
6 district court may proceed in forma pauperis on appeal without
7 further authorization unless the district court certifies that the
8 appeal is not taken in good faith. See Fed. R. App. P. 24(a)(3).
9 In this case, Petitioner proceeded in forma pauperis in this Court.
10 (Doc. 2, filed December 30, 2014.) This Court has not certified
11 that the appeal is not taken in good faith.

12 Accordingly, Petitioner's motion to proceed in forma pauperis
13 on appeal is GRANTED.

14
15 IT IS SO ORDERED.

16 Dated: April 8, 2015

17 /s/ Barbara A. McAuliffe
18 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28