1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8		
9	RAY GUTIERREZ,	No. 1:14-cv-02077-AWI-SAB (PC)
10	Plaintiff,	ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION
11	v.	FOR REIMBURSEMENT OF FUNDS AS MOOT
12	HAROLD TATE, et al.,	(ECF No. 18)
13	Defendants.	
14		
15	On May 3, 2016, the instant action filed pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 was dismissed for	
16	failure to prosecute and failure to state a cognizable claim for relief, and judgment was entered	
17	this same date. (ECF Nos. 15, 16.)	
18	On April 27, 2023, Plaintiff filed a motion requesting to be reimbursed funds that were	
19	deduced as overpayment in this case. (ECF No. 18.) Based on a review of the Court's financial	
20	records, Plaintiff was provided a reimbursement of \$32.13 as overpayment toward the filing fee	
21	in this case on May 12, 2023. Accordingly, Plaintiff's motion for reimbursement of funds is	
22	denied as moot.	
23	WE IS SO ODDEDED	
24	IT IS SO ORDERED.	Sund S. Bo
25	Dated: <u>August 28, 2023</u>	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
26		CITED STILLS MICHELINITE TO SE
27		