

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
3

4 JOE CORONADO,
5 Petitioner,
6 v.
7 JEFFREY A. BEARD,
8 Respondent.

No. 1:15-cv-00045-LJO-BAM HC

**ORDER DENYING PETITIONER'S
MOTION FOR EVIDENTIARY HEARING**

(Doc. 24)

9
10 Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding *pro se* with a petition for writ of habeas corpus
11 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254, moves for an evidentiary hearing on his claims. Respondent has
12 not filed opposition or consent. The matter has been referred to the Magistrate Judge pursuant to
13 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Local Rules 302 and 303.

14 A court has inherent power to control its docket and the disposition of cases with economy
15 of time and effort for both the court and the parties. *Landis v. North American Co.*, 299 U.S. 248,
16 251-55 (1936); *Ferdik v. Bonzelet*, 963 F.2d 1258, 1260 (9th Cir. 1992). Petitioner's motion is
17 most efficiently considered when the Court begins its review of the record and consideration of
18 the petition. Because of the large volume of habeas petitions and limited Court resources, the
19 petition in this case will be addressed in due course.

20 Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED that consideration of Petitioner's motion for
21 evidentiary hearing is DENIED as premature, until the Court considers the merits of the petition.

22 IT IS SO ORDERED.

23 Dated: January 4, 2016

24 /s/ Barbara A. McAuliffe
25 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
26
27
28