1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	MATTHEW POWELL,) Case No.: 1:15-cv-00089-SAB (PC)
12	Plaintiff,)) ORDER DENVING WITHOUT PREHIDICE
13	v.	 ORDER DENYING, WITHOUT PREJUDICE, PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR ISSUANCE OF SUBPOENA DUCES TECUM
14	M. BARRON, et al.,) [ECF No. 43]
15	Defendants.)
16		ý)
17	Plaintiff Matthew Powell is appearing pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action	
18	pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983.	
19	Currently before the Court is Plaintiff's motion for issuance of subpoena duces tecum, filed	
20	July 21, 2016.	
21	First, Plaintiff is advised that the discovery phase of this action is not yet open. If and when	
22	Defendants are served with process and file an answer to the complaint, the Court will issue a	
23	discovery and scheduling order opening the discovery phase in this action. Second, the Clerk's Office	
24	will issue Plaintiff a subpoena only upon order of the Court and, in this instance Plaintiff does not	
25	indicate whether he is seeking to subpoen a records from Defendants or from a non-party. Plaintiff	
26	must seek documents from Defendants via a request for the production of documents, not a subpoena	
27	duces tecum. Fed. R. Civ. P. 34. Third, the Court will authorize the Clerk's Office to issue a	
28	subpoena duces tecum commanding a third party to produce documents $only if(1)$ Plaintiff is unable	
		1

1	to obtain the documents directly from Defendants and (2) he thereafter files a motion to compel which	
2	results in a determination that he is entitled to the documents but Defendants lack possession, custody,	
3	or control over them. <u>See</u> Fed. R. Civ. P. 45(d) (parties have a duty to avoid imposing undue burden	
4	or expense on person subject to subpoena and courts are required to enforce this duty) (quotation	
5	marks omitted); Ollier v. Sweetwater Union High School Dist., 768 F.3d 843, 862 (9th Cir. 2014)	
6	(district courts have "wide discretion in controlling discovery.") (internal quotation marks and citation	
7	omitted). Accordingly, Plaintiff's motion for issuance of subpoena duces tecum is DENIED, without	
8	prejudice.	
9		
10	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
11	Dated: August 9, 2016	
12	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	2	