

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MARK SHAWN FEATHERS,	Case No. 1:15-cv-00090-DAD-SKO (PC)
Plaintiff, v.	ORDER ON STIPULATION FOR VOLUNTARY DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE, FED. R. CIV. P. 41
S. SHERMAN,	(Doc. 55)
Defendant.	CLERK OF THE COURT TO CLOSE CASE

Plaintiff, Mark Shawn Feathers, is a state prisoner proceeding *pro se* and *in forma* pauperis in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This action proceeds solely against Defendant Stu Sherman. On March 1, 2019, the parties filed a stipulation of voluntary dismissal with prejudice of this matter pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(1)(A). Rule 41(a)(1)(A), in relevant part, reads:

the plaintiff may dismiss an action without a court order by filing: (i) a notice of dismissal before the opposing party serves either an answer or a motion for summary judgment; (ii) a stipulated dismissal signed by all parties who have appeared.

Rule 41(a)(1)(A)(ii) thus allows the parties to dismiss an action voluntarily, after service of an answer, by filing a written stipulation to dismiss signed by all of the parties who have appeared, although an oral stipulation in open court will also suffice. *Carter v. Beverly Hills Sav. & Loan Asso.*, 884 F.2d 1186, 1191 (9th Cir. 1989); *Eitel v. McCool*, 782 F.2d 1470, 1472-73 (9th Cir. 1986). Once the stipulation between the parties who have appeared is properly filed or made in open court, no order of the court is necessary to effectuate dismissal. Fed. R. Civ. Pro.

41(a)(1)(ii); *Eitel*, 782 F.2d at 1473 n.4. "Caselaw concerning stipulated dismissals under Rule 41(a)(1)(ii) is clear that the entry of such a stipulation of dismissal is effective automatically and does not require judicial approval." *In re Wolf*, 842 F.2d 464, 466 (D.C. Cir. 1989); *Gardiner v. A.H. Robins Co.*, 747 F.2d 1180, 1189 (8th Cir. 1984); *see also Gambale v. Deutsche Bank AG*, 377 F.3d 133, 139 (2d Cir. 2004); *Commercial Space Mgmt. Co. v. Boeing Co.*, 193 F.3d 1074, 1077 (9th Cir. 1999) *cf. Wilson v. City of San Jose*, 111 F.3d 688, 692 (9th Cir. 1997) (addressing Rule 41(a)(1) dismissals).

Because the parties have filed a stipulation for dismissal of this case with prejudice under Rule 41(a)(1)(A)(ii) that is signed by all parties who have made an appearance, this case has terminated. *See* Fed. R. Civ. Pro. 41(a)(1)(A)(ii); *In re Wolf*, 842 F.2d at 466; *Gardiner*, 747 F.2d at 1189; *see also Gambale*, 377 F.3d at 139; *Commercial Space Mgmt*, 193 F.3d at 1077; *cf. Wilson*, 111 F.3d at 692.

Therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk is ordered to close this case in light of the filed and properly signed Rule 41(a)(1)(A)(ii) Stipulation For Voluntary Dismissal With Prejudice.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 4, 2019 /s/ 9

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE