

1
2
3
4 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

5 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

6 JEREMIAH D. VICKERS,) Case No.: 1:15-cv-00129-SAB (PC)
7 Plaintiff,)
8 v.) ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF’S
9 THOMPSON, et al.,) MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME
10 Defendants.) TO FILE RESPONSE TO MOTION FOR
11) SUMMARY JUDGMENT NUNC PRO
) TUNC
) (ECF No. 94)

12 Currently before the Court is Plaintiff Jeremiah D. Vickers’s motion for an extension of
13 time to file his response to Defendants’ motion for summary judgment. Plaintiff’s response was
14 provided for mailing approximately fourteen days late. He cites a delay in the mail, law library
15 closures, and his mandatory inmate job assignment as cause for his delay. Plaintiff seeks for his
16 response, which was included with his motion, to be deemed timely filed.

17 Because of the brief delay here which will not prejudice Defendants, and good cause
18 being shown, Plaintiff’s request is granted. Plaintiff’s opposition, filed on March 2, 2018, is
19 deemed timely filed. Defendants reply to the opposition, if any, is due within **seven (7) days** of
20 the date of this order.

21
22 IT IS SO ORDERED.

23 Dated: March 5, 2018

24 
25
26
27
28
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE