1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	FRESNO DIVISION	
11		
12	RUBEN CHAVARRIA, et al.,	Case No. 1:15-cv-00223-LJO-SAB
13	Plaintiffs,	ORDER DENYING MOTION BY STIPULATION TO STAY ACTION AND
14	v.	REQUIRING DEFENDANTS TO FILE A RESPONSIVE PLEADING
15	EDMUND G. BROWN JR., et al.,	(ECF No. 34)
16	Defendants.	(Del 100.31)
17		
18	On September 22, 2015, an order issued lifting the stay of this action and requiring	
19	Defendants to file a pleading responsive to the complaint within twenty days. (ECF No. 30.)	
20	Thereafter, the parties filed a stipulation to extend time for Defendants to file an answer to the	
21	complaint which was granted. (ECF Nos. 32, 33.) On October 2, 2015, the parties filed a motion	
22	by stipulation to stay the proceedings in this action until the pleadings in the related cases of	
23	<u>Jackson, et al. v. Brown, et al.</u> , No. 1:13-cv-01055-LJO-SAB, and <u>Smith, et al. v.</u>	
24	Schwarzenegger, et al., No. 1:14-cv-0060-LJO-SAB, are finalized.	
25	On October 16, 2015 the Court conducted a status conference in several of these related	
26	cases. During the October 16, 2015 conference, the parties discussed the necessity for a stay and	
27	the Court's concern that these actions not stagnant unnecessarily on the Court's docket.	
28	1	
	1	

Counsel for Plaintiffs are attempting to resolve how this action will proceed based on the decisions in <u>Jackson</u> and <u>Smith</u> finding that Defendants are entitled to qualified immunity on the Eighth Amendment claims. The parties are discussing how the qualified immunity decision will impact the additional claims that are raised in these actions. Counsel for the parties agreed at the October 16, 2015 hearing that the deadline to file a responsive document in these related cases shall be extended to December 11, 2015 to allow the parties an opportunity to consider their options due to the qualified immunity findings. Therefore, the stipulation to stay the proceedings at this time is denied without prejudice. The Court finds no need for a status conference to be set at this time.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. The motion by stipulation to stay this action is DENIED without prejudice; and
- 2. Defendants shall file a pleading responsive to the first amended complaint on or before December 11, 2015.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: October 19, 2015

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE