

1  
2  
3  
4 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
5 **EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**  
6

7 RAE LAVERN JONES,  
8 Plaintiff,

9 v.

10 COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL  
11 SECURITY,  
12 Defendant.

Case No. 1:15-cv-00225-LJO-SAB

ORDER REQUIRING DEFENDANT TO  
SHOW CAUSE WHY PLAINTIFF'S SOCIAL  
SECURITY APPEAL SHOULD NOT BE  
DEEMED UNOPPOSED

TEN-DAY DEADLINE

13 On October 6, 2015, an order issued granting the parties stipulation to extend time for  
14 briefing. Pursuant to the stipulation, Plaintiff's opening brief was due on November 4, 2015; and  
15 all other dates in the Court's scheduling order were to be amended accordingly. (ECF No. 17.)

16 Plaintiff filed her opening brief on November 2, 2015. (ECF No. 18.) Pursuant to the  
17 February 12, 2015 scheduling order, Defendant's responsive brief was due within thirty days.  
18 (ECF No. 6.) Defendant has not filed an opposition brief.

19 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that, within ten (10) days from the date of  
20 service of this order, Defendant shall either file a written response to this order to show cause  
21 why Plaintiff's opening brief should not be deemed unopposed or file an opposition to Plaintiff's  
22 opening brief.

23 IT IS SO ORDERED.

24 Dated: December 14, 2015

25   
26 \_\_\_\_\_  
27 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  
28