Garza v. Fisher Doc. 85

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8		
9	FERNIE GARZA,	Case No. 1:15-cv-00234-DAD-SKO
10	Plaintiff,	ORDER DIRECTING CLERK TO CLOSE CASE
11	v.	(Doc. 84)
12	RAYTHEL FISHER, JR., et al.,	
13	Defendants.	
14	/	
15		
16	On May 15, 2017, the parties filed a	joint Stipulation for Voluntary Dismissal with
17	Prejudice, in which the parties notify the Court that this action has been dismissed with prejudice	
18	(the "Stipulation"). (Doc. 84.) This Stipulation is signed by all parties who have appeared in this	
19	matter. (See id.) As such, this case has been voluntarily dismissed with prejudice pursuant to	
20	Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(1)(A)(ii).	The Court therefore DIRECTS the Clerk to close
21	this case.	
22		
23	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
24	Dated: May 16, 2017	s Sheila K. Oberto
25		UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
26 27	However, this complaint is no longer operative, as Plai	laintiff's first complaint—Raythel Fisher Jr. (See Doc. 84.) ntiff subsequently filed his First Amended Complaint for Doc. 65.) Additionally, Mr. Fisher was not named as a

Defendant in the currently operative amended complaint. (See id.) As such, Mr. Fisher is no longer a party in this action and his omission from the Stipulation does not preclude the voluntary dismissal of this case.