| Medina v. National | Oilwell Varco, L.P. | Do |
|--------------------|---|--|
| | | |
| | | |
| 1 | | |
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | UNITED STATES 1 | DISTRICT COURT |
| 9 | EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | JORGE MEDINA, an individual | Case No. 1:15-CV-00235-DAD-JLT |
| 12 | Plaintiff, | [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING JOINT STIPULATION OF DISMISSAL OF ENTIRE ACTION WITH PREJUDICE |
| 13 | vs. | |
| 14 | DNOW, L.P. and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive | (Doc. 49) |
| 15 | Defendant. | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | On February 23, 2017, the parties filed a stipulation dismissing the action and agreeing that | |
| 18 | each side will bear their own costs. (Doc. 49) Federal Rules of Civil Procedure Rule 41 provides | |
| 19 | that "the plaintiff may dismiss an action without a court order by filing: a stipulation of | |
| 20 | dismissal signed by all parties who have appeared."" Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a). Once such a notice | |
| 21 | has been filed, an order of the Court is not required to make the dismissal effective. Fed. R. Civ. P. | |
| 22 | 41(a)(1)(ii); Wilson v. City of San Jose, 111 F.3d 688, 692 (9th Cir. 1997). Accordingly, the Clerk | |
| 23 | of Court is DIRECTED to close this action in light of the notice of dismissal with prejudice filed | |
| 24 | and properly signed pursuant to Rule 41(a). | |
| 25 | IT IC CO ODDEDED | |
| 26 | IT IS SO ORDERED. | |
| 27 | Dated: February 24, 2017 | /s/ Jennifer L. Thurston UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE |
| 28 | | |
| | | |

Doc. 50