

1  
2  
3  
4 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
5 **EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**  
6

7 MICHAEL J. SULLIVAN,

8 Plaintiff,

9 v.

10 M. D. BITER, et al.,

11 Defendants.

Case No. 1:15-cv-00243-DAD-SAB-PC

ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S  
MOTIONS FOR SCREENING

(ECF NO. 11)

12  
13 On January 8, 2016, Plaintiff filed motions requesting the Court to screen his complaint.  
14 Plaintiff is advised that the Court presently has a large number of cases awaiting screening. This  
15 case is among those. Case management at this Court proceeds by the order cases are received.  
16 Due to the caseload of the Court, and the Court's diligent handling of each individual case,  
17 rulings and decisions often take time. Plaintiff's case will be screened in due course and  
18 appropriate orders will issue.

19 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's motion to screen the complaint  
20 is denied.

21 IT IS SO ORDERED.

22  
23 Dated: January 22, 2016

24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE