1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	BRETT GRASSI,) Case No.: 1:15-cv-00253 JLT
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER TO SHANON CARSON, RUSSELL PAUL AND GLEN ABRAMSON TO SHOW CAUSE WHY THEIR PRO HAC VICE ADMISSIONS SHOULD NOT BE REVOKED
13	v.	
14	INTERNATION COMFORT PRODUCTS, LLC.,	
15	Defendant.	
16		
17	On April 28, 2015, the Court granted the pro hac vice petitions of attorneys Shanon Carson,	
18	Russell Paul and Glen Abramson submitted applications to appear pro hac vice for Plaintiff. (Doc. 14)	
19	The Court granted the petitions despite that each had designated Jonathan Shub—a non-California	
20	lawyer—as counsel with whom the Court and opposing counsel may readily communicate. (Doc.10 at	
21	2; Doc. 11 at 2; Doc. 12 at 2) Though counsel each represented that Mr. Shub is a member of this	
22	Court, he is not. (Doc. 18) Thus, none of the petitions should have been granted given they failed to	
23	comply with Local Rule 180(b)(2)(ii).	
24	Within 14 days, attorneys Shanon Carson, Russell Paul and Glen Abramson SHALL show	
25	cause in writing why their pro hac vice status should not be revoked.	
26	///	
27	///	
28	///	
	T. Control of the con	

Failure to comply with this order will result in the imposition of sanctions and/or an order revoking the non-compliant attorney's pro hac vice status. IT IS SO ORDERED. /s/ Jennifer L. Thurston
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE Dated: May 12, 2015