8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
EASTERN DIST	RICT OF CALIFORNIA
JOAQUIN MURILLO,	1:15-cv-0266 LJO-JLT (PC)
Plaintiff,	ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL
v.	(Doc. 59)
K. HOLLAND, et al,	
Defendant.	
On June 19, 2017, plaintiff filed a motion seeking the appointment of counsel. Plaintiff	
8 does not have a constitutional right to appointed counsel in this action, <u>Rand v. Rowland</u> , 113	
F.3d 1520, 1525 (9th Cir. 1997), and the court cannot require an attorney to represent plaintiff	
•	v. United States District Court for the Southern
	However, in certain exceptional circumstances the
	counsel pursuant to section 1915(e)(1). <u>Rand</u> , 113
	ing and compensating counsel, the court will seek
volunteer counsel only in the most serious and exceptional cases. In determining whether	
"exceptional circumstances exist, the district court must evaluate both the likelihood of success of	
	· -
complexity of the legal issues involved." <u>Id</u> .	(internal quotation marks and citations omitted).
	EASTERN DIST

1	In the present case, the court does not find the required exceptional circumstances. Even	
2	if it is assumed that plaintiff is not well versed in the law and that he has made serious allegations	
3	which, if proved, would entitle him to relief, his case is not exceptional. This court is faced with	
4	4 similar cases almost daily. Further, at this early stage in the proceedings, the court cannot make a	
5	5 determination that plaintiff is likely to succeed on the merits, and based on a review of the record	
6	6 in this case, the court does not find that plaintiff cannot adequately articulate his claims. <u>Id</u> .	
7	For the foregoing reasons, plaintiff's motion for the appointment of counsel is DENIED ,	
8	8 without prejudice.	
9		
10	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
11	Dated: June 22, 2017 /s/ Jennifer L. Thurston UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
12	UNITED STATES MADISTRATE JUDGE	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	2	