1 2 3 4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 5 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 6 7 8 ROBERT BISHOP, Case No.: 1:15-cv-00273-LJO-SAB (PC) 9 Plaintiff, ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND 10 RECOMMENDATION. DENYING PLAINTIFF'S v. MOTION FOR A COURT ORDER GRANTING 11 ACCESS TO THE LAW LIBRARY AND LEGAL RAUL LOPEZ, et al., **MATERIALS** 12 Defendants. [ECF Nos. 112 & 113] 13 14 Plaintiff Robert Bishop is appearing pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action 15 16 pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 17 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302. On March 22, 2016, the Magistrate Judge filed a Findings and 18 Recommendation which was served on the parties and contained notice objections to the Findings and 19 20 Recommendation were to be filed within thirty days. The thirty day time frame has expired and no objections were filed. 21 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), the Court has conducted a de 22 novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the Court finds the Findings and 23 24 Recommendation to be supported by the record and by proper analysis. /// 25 /// 26 /// 27 28 ///

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. The Findings and Recommendation, filed on March 22, 2016, is adopted in full; and
- 2. Plaintiff's motion for injunctive relief, filed March 18, 2016, is DENIED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 13, 2016 /s/ Lawrence J. O'Neill UNITED STATES CHIEF DISTRICT JUDGE