| 1 | | |
|----------|--|---|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | |
| 9 | EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | LOUIS C. DOMINGO, | Case No. 1:13-cv-00284-AWI-JLT |
| 12 | Plaintiff, | ORDER GRANTING WITHDRAWL OF THE PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO AMEND |
| 13 | V. | (Doc. 54) |
| 14 | MANAGEMENT & TRAINING CORPORATION, et al., | |
| 15 16 | Defendants. | |
| 17 | The parties have agreed that the plain | tiff may file a second amended complaint. (Doc. 54 |
| 18 | at 3-4) Thus, the plaintiff seeks to withdraw the motion to amend. (Doc. 54) Consequently, the | |
| 19 | Court ORDERS: | |
| 20 | 1. The plaintiff's motion to with | draw the motion to amend the complaint (Doc. 54) is |
| 21 | GRANTED. <u>No later than December 12, 2</u> | 2016, the plaintiff SHALL file his second amended |
| 22 | complaint; | |
| 23 | 2. The United States of America | SHALL file a responsive pleading within 21 days |
| 24 | after the filing of the second amended complaint. Because the second amended complaint makes | |
| 25 | no changes as to defendant MTC, it need not file a responsive pleading. | |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | IT IS SO ORDERED. | |
| 28 | Dated: December 8, 2016 | <u>/s/ Jennifer L. Thurston</u> UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE |

| 1 | |
|----------------------------|--|
| 2 | |
| 2 3 | |
| | |
| 5 | |
| 6 | |
| 7 | |
| 4 5 6 7 8 9 | |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |
| 28 | |
| | |