

1 T.J. Stephens (SBN 206713)
 2 **NUSSBAUM APC**
 3 27489 Agoura Rd Ste 102
 4 Agoura Hills, CA 91301-2419
 5 Ph 818-660-1919; Fx 818-864-3241
 6 tj@nussbaumapc.com

7
 8 Attorneys for Defendant,
 9 PAUL EDWARD JONES

10
 11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 12 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

13 BALJIT ATHWAL; NAVNEET ATHWAL;
 14 DALJIT ATWAL; and KARAN INC., d/b/a
 15 POP-N-CORK,

16 Plaintiffs,

17 vs.

18 COUNTY OF STANISLAUS; CITY OF
 19 TURLOCK; CITY OF MODESTO; CITY
 20 OF CERES; STANISLAUS COUNTY
 21 OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT
 22 ATTORNEY; KIRK BUNCH; JON
 23 EVERS; TIMOTHY REDD; DALE
 24 LINGERFELT; STEVE JACOBSON;
 25 BIRGIT FLADAGER; GALEN
 26 CARROLL; PAUL EDWARD JONES;
 27 DOE COUNTY OF STANISLAUS
 28 EMPLOYEES 1-10; DOE CITY OF
 TURLOCK EMPLOYEES 11-20; DOE
 CITY OF MODESTO EMPLOYEES 21-
 30; and DOE CITY OF CERES
 EMPLOYEES 31-40,

Defendants.

CASE NO.: 1:15-CV-00311-TLN-BAM
 [Hon. Troy L. Nunley]

**ORDER DEEMING DEFENDANT JONES'
 SETTLEMENT WITH PLAINTIFFS TO
 HAVE BEEN MADE IN GOOD FAITH
 SETTLEMENT PURSUANT TO CODE OF
 CIVIL PROCEDURE § 877.6**

[Filed concurrently with Stipulation re Good
 Faith Settlement]

NUSSBAUM APC
 27489 Agoura Rd Ste 102
 Agoura Hills, CA 91301


1 The Court, having reviewed and considered the Stipulation regarding Good Faith
2 Settlements entered into by and between Defendant PAUL EDWARD JONES
3 (hereinafter collectively referred to as "JONES") and Plaintiffs BALJIT ATHWAL;
4 NAVNEET ATHWAL; DALJIT ATWAL; and KARAN INC., d/b/a POP-N-CORK
5 (hereinafter referred to as "PLAINTIFFS"); Defendants CITY OF MODESTO, CHIEF
6 GALEN CARROLL and DETECTIVE JON EVERS; Defendants CITY OF CERES, CITY
7 OF TURLOCK and TIMOTHY REDD; Defendants COUNTY OF STANISLAUS, KIRK
8 BUNCH, DALE LINGERFELT, STEVE JACOBSON and BIRGIT FLADAGER; Plaintiffs
9 FRANK CARSON, EDUARDO, QUINTANAR, JR., CHRISTINA DEFILIPPO and
10 GEORGIA DEFILIPPO, by and through their respective counsel of record, in the
11 above-captioned case, finding good cause, hereby ORDERS as follows:

12 1. The settlement reached and entered into between JONES and PLAINTIFF
13 represents a Good Faith Settlement within the meaning of and pursuant to *California*
14 *Code of Civil Procedure*, Section 877.6.

15 2. Any and all pending or potential claims, whether by cross-complaint or
16 other action related to, or arising out of action filed by PLAINTIFF against JONES for
17 equitable comparative contribution, or partial or comparative indemnity, based on
18 comparative negligence or comparative fault, is barred pursuant to *California Code of*
19 *Civil Procedure*, Section 877.6.

20 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

21
22 **Dated:** October 12, 2021

23 
24 _____
25 Troy L. Nunley
26 United States District Judge
27
28