


1 Local Rule 183(b) requires that a party appearing in propria persona, as plaintiff Carter
2 does here, must keep the court advised as to his current address. If mail directed to such party by
3 the Clerk is returned, and if such party fails to notify the court and opposing parties within sixty-
4 three days thereafter of a current address, the court may dismiss the action for failure to
5 prosecute. Moreover, Local Rule 110 provides that a party's failure to comply with the Local
6 Rules generally may be grounds for imposition of any sanctions authorized by statute, Rule, or
7 within the inherent power of the court. Accordingly, a court may dismiss an action based on a
8 party's failure to prosecute or failure to comply with local rules. *See, e.g., Ghazali v. Moran*, 46
9 F.3d 52, 53–54 (9th Cir. 1995) (dismissal for noncompliance with local rule); *Ferdik v. Bonzelet*,
10 963 F.2d 1258, 1260–61 (9th Cir. 1992) (dismissal for failure to comply with an order requiring
11 amendment of complaint).

12 In light of plaintiff's failure to update the court with his current address or to otherwise
13 respond to the pending findings and recommendations, this court hereby orders plaintiff Carter to
14 show cause why this case should not be dismissed for failure to prosecute or failure to comply
15 with local rules. Specifically, plaintiff Carter is directed to **file a written response within**
16 **twenty-eight (28) days of service of this order** indicating his intent to proceed with this action
17 and advising this court of his current address. If plaintiff fails to comply with this order, the court
18 will dismiss this case.

19 IT IS SO ORDERED.

20 Dated: June 22, 2017

21 
22 _____
23 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
24
25
26
27
28