1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8		
9	G.P.P., INC. d/b/a GUARDIAN INNOVATIVE SOLUTIONS,	
10	Plaintiff,	
11		
12	V.	
13	GUARDIAN PROTECTION PRODUCTS,	Case No. 1:15-cv-00321-SKO
14	INC., RPM WOOD FINISHES GROUP, INC.,	ORDER RE: SCOPE OF TRIAL, SETTING BRIEFING SCHEDULE, AND
15	Defendants.	RESETTING PRETRIAL CONFERENCE
16		
17 18	GUARDIAN PROTECTION PRODUCTS, INC.,	
19	Counterclaimant,	
20		
21	V.	
22	G.P.P., INC. d/b/a GUARDIAN INNOVATIVE SOLUTIONS,	
23	Counter-defendant.	
24	/	
25		
26		
27	On January 13, 2021, the Court held a telephonic conference to discuss resetting the	
28	Pretrial Conference, which had been vacated in	light of the coronavirus (COVID-19) outbreak and
ll ll	.1	

the resultant courthouse restrictions, see, e.g., General Orders Nos. 612-618, as well as the uncertainty surrounding courthouse availability in the future. (See Doc. 400.) Colleen Bal, Esq. John Flynn, Esq., Dylan Liddiard, Esq., and Jason Mollick, Esq., appeared on behalf of Plaintiff/Counter-defendant G.P.P., Inc. d/b/a Guardian Innovative Solutions ("GIS"). Calvin Davis, Esq., and Aaron Rudin, Esq., appeared on behalf of Defendant/Counterclaimant Guardian Protection Products, Inc. ("Guardian"). Following the conference, the Court hereby ORDERS as follows: 1. The Pretrial Conference is SET for June 30, 2021, at 2:30 P.M. before the Honorable Sheila K. Oberto, United States Magistrate Judge. The parties are ordered to file an Amended Joint Pretrial Statement pursuant to Local Rule 281(a)(2). The parties are further directed to submit a digital copy of their Pretrial Statement in Word format, directly to Magistrate Judge Oberto's chambers by email at SKOorders@caed.uscourts.gov. Counsel's attention is directed to Rules 281 and 282 of the Local Rules for the Eastern District of California, as to the obligations of counsel in preparing for the Pretrial Conference. The Court will insist upon strict compliance with those rules.

- 2. As indicated in the Joint Pretrial Statement, the parties continue to dispute the effect of the Ninth Circuit's Memorandum opinion on the claims to be tried in this case and defenses to those claims. (*See* Doc. 399 at 25, 27, 31–34, 41.) As such, the Court holds as follows:
  - a. The Ninth Circuit found that the "undisputed facts of the parties' course of performance" is that the Florida, Alabama, and Tennessee Agreements "require that GIS meet only aggregate purchase quotas." (Doc. 344 at 3.) This is the law of the case as to those Agreements, *see Odima v. Westin Tucson Hotel*, 53 F.3d 1484, 1497 (9th Cir. 1995), and will be given to the jury;
  - b. The Ninth Circuit's remand of GIS's Third Cause of Action alleging Guardian's breach of the "Bob's Discount Furniture Agreement" (*see* Doc. 367 ¶¶ 69–73) is limited to the territories covered by the Florida, Mid-Atlantic, and Cook County Agreements. (*See* Doc. 344 at 4–5; *see also* Docs. 378 & 388.); and

1	c. The Ninth Circuit made no determination as to the issue of whether the Bob's	
2	Discount Furniture Agreement was supported by consideration in the territories	
3	covered by the Florida, Mid-Atlantic, and Cook County Agreements; instead, it	
4	remanded that issue for trial. (See Doc. 344 at 5.)	
5	3. As indicated in the Joint Pretrial Statement, the parties dispute the effect of the jury verdict	
6	rendered on June 29, 2017, (Doc. 286) on the claims to be tried in this case and defenses to those	
7	claims. (See Doc. 399 at 5–7, 28–30, 34–37, 40–41.) Accordingly, by no later than <b>February 17</b> ,	
8	2021, GIS and Guardian shall each file opening briefs on the effect, if any, of the jury verdict on	
9	GIS's claims and Guardian's defenses. Responsive briefs shall be filed no later than March 17,	
10	2021, and the parties shall file their reply briefs no later than March 31, 2021. The Court shall set	
11	a hearing, if it deems appropriate, once the briefing is completed. Otherwise, the briefing will be	
12	deemed submitted as of that date.	
13		
14	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
15	Dated: January 14, 2021 /s/ Sheila K. Oberto	
16	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		