

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

WADE KNIGHT,

Petitioner,

v.

ANDRE MATEVOUSIAN,

Respondent.

Case No. 1:15-cv-00340-AWI-EPG-HC

**ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY
SANCTIONS SHOULD NOT BE IMPOSED**

Petitioner is a federal prisoner proceeding *pro se* with a petition for writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241. On June 10, 2016, this Court ordered that within seven days Respondent was to re-serve Respondent’s response and supplemental declaration (ECF Nos. 32, 33) on Petitioner and to file a certificate of service with the Court. (ECF No. 35). Over seven days have passed and Respondent has failed to file a certificate of service with the Court.

Local Rule 110 provides that “[f]ailure of counsel or of a party to comply with these Rules or with any order of the Court may be grounds for imposition by the Court of any and all sanctions authorized by statute or Rule or within the inherent power of the Court.”

///
///
///
///

