## 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 Case No. 1:15-cv-00350-JLT (PC) 11 BRINT CLARK, ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY THE 12 Plaintiff, ACTION SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED FOR PLAINTIFF'S FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH 13 v. THE COURT'S ORDER 14 BRAZELTON, et al., (Doc. 14) Defendants. 15 **30-DAY DEADLINE** 16 17 Plaintiff, Brint Clark, is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action 18 pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff filed the Complaint in this action on March 5, 2015. 19 (Doc. 1.) On April 16, 2015, an order issued which both screened and dismissed the Complaint 20 and granted Plaintiff leave to file a first amended complaint. (Doc. 6.) Despite requesting and 21 receiving three extensions of time to do so, Plaintiff's first amended complaint is now almost two 22 weeks overdue. (See Docs. 8, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14.) 23 The Local Rules, corresponding with Fed. R. Civ. P. 11, provide, "[f]ailure of counsel or 24 of a party to comply with . . . any order of the Court may be grounds for the imposition by the Court of any and all sanctions . . . within the inherent power of the Court." Local Rule 110. 25 26 "District courts have inherent power to control their dockets," and in exercising that power, a 27 court may impose sanctions, including dismissal of an action. Thompson v. Housing Authority of 28 Los Angeles, 782 F.2d 829, 831 (9th Cir. 1986). A court may dismiss an action with prejudice,

based on a party's failure to prosecute an action or failure to obey a court order, or failure to comply with local rules. See, e.g. Ferdik v. Bonzelet, 963 F.2d 1258, 1260-61 (9th Cir. 1992) (dismissal for failure to comply with an order requiring amendment of complaint); Malone v. U.S. Postal Service, 833 F.2d 128, 130 (9th Cir. 1987) (dismissal for failure to comply with a court order); Henderson v. Duncan, 779 F.2d 1421, 1424 (9th Cir. 1986) (dismissal for failure to prosecute and to comply with local rules). Accordingly, within 30 days Plaintiff is ORDERED to show cause in writing why the action should not be dismissed for his failure comply with the Court's order of July 9, 2015 by filing a first amended complaint; alternatively, within that same deadline, Plaintiff may show cause by filing a first amended complaint. **Plaintiff is warned that failure to respond may** result in termination of this action. IT IS SO ORDERED. /s/ Jennifer L. Thurston Dated: **August 26, 2015** UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE