

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10

11 DANNY JAMES COHEA, J13647,)
12 Plaintiff(s),) No. C 14-4288 CRB (PR)
13 vs.) ORDER OF TRANSFER
14 M. MELO, et al.,) (Dkt #7 & 12)
15 Defendant(s).)
16 _____)

17 Plaintiff Danny James Cohea, a state prisoner incarcerated at California State
18 Prison, Corcoran (CSP – COR) and a frequent filer in federal court, has filed a
19 voluminous pro se civil rights complaint under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 alleging various
20 violations of his constitutional rights at “CSP – COR” from the time he was transferred
21 there on “January 24, 2008” “through 2013.” Compl. at 3. Among other things,
22 plaintiff challenges the “unconstitutional application” of “sexual misconduct/sexual
23 behavior policies” and the “use of disciplinary policies as a ruse and cover to punish
24 plaintiff for exercising his First Amendment rights.” *Id.* at 5. Plaintiff properly names
25 as defendants in this § 1983 action several state officials from the California Department
26 of Corrections and Rehabilitation (CDCR) and from CSP – COR, but improperly names
27 as defendants several federal officials, including a district judge of this court and dozens
28 of other federal judges and federal court officials. *See id.* at 5-15.

Venue generally is proper in a judicial district in which: (1) any defendant resides, if all defendants are residents of the state in which the district is located; (2) a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred, or a substantial part of property that is the subject of the action is situated; or (3) any defendant is subject to the court's personal jurisdiction, if there is no district in which the action may otherwise be brought. 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b).

Here, a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to plaintiff's claims occurred, and all the viable defendants named reside, in a county within the venue of the Eastern District of California (e.g., Kings County, where CSP – COR is located, and Sacramento County, where CDCR is headquartered). See 28 U.S.C. § 84(b). Venue therefore properly lies in the Eastern District. See id. § 1391(b).

Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that, in the interest of justice and pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1406(a), this action be TRANSFERRED to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California.

The clerk shall transfer this matter and terminate all pending motions (see dkt. #7 & 12) without prejudice to plaintiff renewing them in the Eastern District.

SO ORDERED.

DATED: Feb. 27, 2015



CHARLES R. BREYER
United States District Judge