

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

MICHAEL STILL,
Plaintiff.
v.
VERIZON WIRELESS, et al.,
Defendants.

) Case No.: 1:15-cv-00368 --- JLT
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)

On March 9, 2015, the Court set the mandatory scheduling conference/status conference re: consent to occur on June 22, 2015. (Doc. 5) Notably, in its order setting the mandatory scheduling conference, the Court ordered, “Attendance at the Scheduling Conference is **mandatory** upon each party not represented by counsel or by retained counsel.” (Doc. 5 at 2, emphasis in the original). Nevertheless, Plaintiff and his attorneys failed to appear. Accordingly, within 14 days, the Court

ORDERS Plaintiff and his attorneys of record, Joseph S. Farzam and Nazo L. Kouloukian, to show cause in writing why sanctions should not be imposed for their failure to obey the Court’s order and appear at the status conference re: consent/mandatory scheduling conference.

IT IS SO ORDERED

Dated: **June 22, 2015**

/s/ Jennifer L. Thurston
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE