

1
2
3
4
5 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE**
6 **EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
7

8
9 **NORTH FORK RANCHERIA OF**
10 **MONO INDIANS OF CALIFORNIA,**

11 Plaintiff,

12 v.

13 **STATE OF CALIFORNIA,**

14 Defendant.

1:15-cv-00419-AWI-SAB

**ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY THE
COURT SHOULD NOT ORDER THE
PARTIES TO MEDIATION PURSUANT
TO 25 U.S.C. § 2710(d)(7)(B)(iv)**

15 On November 13, 2015, the Court determined that the State failed to enter into
16 negotiations with North Fork for the purpose of entering into a Tribal-State compact within the
17 meaning of 25 U.S.C. § 2710. It therefore ordered the parties to conclude a compact within 60
18 days of the date of that order. *See* 25 U.S.C. § 2710(d)(7)(B)(iii). That statutorily prescribed
19 60-day period expired on January 12, 2016. If the parties failed to conclude a compact, the Court
20 must select a mediator and order the State and the Tribe to each submit “a proposed compact that
21 represents their last best offer for a compact.” 25 U.S.C. § 2710(d)(7)(B)(iv). The Court has been
22 provided with no indication that a compact has been concluded. Accordingly, the parties are each
23 **ORDERED TO SHOW CAUSE** by January 15, 2016, why the Court should not select a
24 mediator and require the parties to submit their last best offers.

25 IT IS SO ORDERED.

26 Dated: January 13, 2016

27 
28 SENIOR DISTRICT JUDGE