2 3	McCormick, Barstow, Sheppard, Wayte & Carruth LLP Gordon M. Park, #72190 Todd W. Baxter, #152212 Dana B. Denno, #227971 7647 North Fresno Street Fresno, California 93720 Telephone: (559) 433-1300 Facsimile: (559) 433-2300 Attorneys for STATE FARM GENERAL	
7	INSURANCE COMPANY	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, FRESNO DIVISION	
10		
11	HALONDA NAFF and JARVIS NAFF,	Case No. 1:15-cv-00515-JLT
12	Plaintiffs,	STIPULATION REGARDING
13	v.	DISCOVERY DISPUTE AND ORDER
14	STATE FARM GENERAL INSURANCE	
15	COMPANY and DOES 1 through 25, inclusive,	
16	Defendants.	
17	AND RELATED COUNTER-CLAIMS	
18		Order Plaintiffs/Counterdefendants HALONDA
19	Pursuant to Court's January 15, 2016 Order, Plaintiffs/Counterdefendants HALONDA	
20	NAFF AND JARVIS NAFF ("NAFFS") and Defendant/Counterclaimant STATE FARM	
21	GENERAL INSURANCE COMPANY ("State Farm") have met and conferred and respectfully	
22	submit this Stipulation re: Discovery Dispute as a proposed resolution of disputes pertaining to	
23	certain records subpoenaed by State Farm as follows:	
24	1. State Farm has issued subpoenas to the following entities seeking discovery pertaining to	
25	Plaintiff/Cross-Defendant Halonda Naff.	
26	Bakersfield Memorial Hospital 420 34th Street	
27	Bakersfield CA 93301 (661) 327-4647	
28		
McCormick, Barstow, Sheppard, Wayte & Carruth LLP		
	STIPULATION RE: DISCOVERY DISPUTE AND ORDER Dockets.Justia.q	

1		
2	Lifetime Chinemastic	
3	Lifetime Chiropractic W. Stuart Tatsuno, D.C.	
4	1002 Wible Road, Ste. H Bakersfield, CA 93304	
5	(661) 397-1909	
6	Health Information Management	
7	551 Shanley Court Bakersfield, CA 93311	
8	(661) 632-51768	
9	Pair & Marotta Physical Therapy	
10	2603 G Street Bakersfield, CA 93301	
11	(661) 634-9440	
12	2. With respect to Plaintiff/Cross-Defendant Jarvis Naff, State Farm has issued the following	
13	subpoenas:	
14	Health Information Management 551 Shanley Court	
15	Bakersfield, CA 93311 (661) 632-51768	
16		
17	3. As to each of the subpoenas, Plaintiffs object to the production of records and contend that	
18	none of the records are relevant to the pending claims in this action.	
19	4. State Farm submits that the Plaintiffs have put these records at issue, in that the requested	
20	records may be relevant to Plaintiffs' claims of emotional distress, and may support	
21	alternate causation or contributing factors, other than the claim handling of State Farm, as	
22	the source of Plaintiffs' emotional distress, if any.	
23	5. Plaintiffs have proposed that the foregoing records, to the extent they have not already	
24	been produced, be produced directly to the Court for <i>in camera</i> review. Defendants have	
25	agreed, provided the Court is amenable to conducting the review. At this time, the parties	
26	do not know the volume of documents responsive to the foregoing subpoenas.	
27	///	
28	///	
McCormick, Barstow, Sheppard, Wayte & Carruth LLP	2	

1	6. In the event the Court finds the records, or any portions therein, should be disclosed, such	
2	records will be produced to the Parties. In the event the Court finds no records that should	
3	be disclosed, the Court will issue an order broadly outlining its findings and indicating that	
4	the subpoenas should be quashed.	
5		
6	Dated: January 29, 2016	MARDEROSIAN & COHEN
7		/s/ Michael G. Marderosian
8		By: Michael G. Marderosian
9		Heather S. Cohen,
10		Attorneys for Plaintiffs
11	Dated: January 29, 2016	McCORMICK, BARSTOW, SHEPPARD,
12	Dated. January 29, 2010	WAYTE & CARRUTH LLP
13		/s/ Gordon M. Park
14		By: Gordon M. Park
15		Todd W. Baxter
16		Dana B. Denno Attorneys for STATE FARM GENERAL
17		INSURANCE COMPANY
18	<u>ORDER</u>	
19	Based on the Stipulation of the parties hereto and good cause appearing therefor,	
20	IT IS ORDERED that the foregoing records, to the extent they have not already been	
21	produced, will be produced directly to the Court for <i>in camera</i> review. In the event the Court	
22	finds the records, or any portions therein, should be disclosed, such records will be produced to the	
23	Parties. In the event the Court finds no records that should be disclosed, the Court will issue an	
24	order broadly outlining its findings and indicating that the subpoenas should be quashed.	
25	Dated: January 29, 2016	gennifer 1. Thurst
26		
27		The Honorable Jennifer L. Thurston
28		
McCormick, Barstow, Sheppard, Wayte & Carruth LLP	3 STIPULATION RE: DISCOVERY DISPUTE AND ORDER	