1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8 9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9 10	EASTERN DIST	RICT OF CALIFORNIA	
11	HALONDA NAFF, et al,) Case No.: 1:15-cv-00515 JLT	
12	Plaintiffs,) ORDER AFTER IN CAMERA REVIEW OF) RECORDS FROM BAKERSFIELD MEMORIAL	
13 14	v. STATE FARM GENERAL INSURANCE	 HOSPITAL, KERN MEDICAL CENTER AND MERCY HOSPITAL 	
15	COMPANY,		
16	Defendant.))	
17	The parties agreed that subpoenaed reco	ords related to Plaintiffs' daughter would be produced	
18	to the Court for an in camera review. All agreed that in the event the Court finds records that should		
19	be disclosed, it will issue an order describing the records generally and identifying them by Bates		
20	number. It will give Plaintiffs a deadline by which they will indicate whether they continue to object		
21	to the production of the records. If they do, the Court will decide whether a further conference would		
22	be fruitful or simply authorize defendant to pro-	ceed with a motion to compel. In the event the Court	

23 finds no records should be disclosed, the Court will issue an order broadly outlining its findings and
24 indicating that the subpoenas should be quashed.

The Court has conducted the review of records produced by Bakersfield Memorial Hospital,
Kern Medical Center and Mercy Hospital. After doing so, it appears Plaintiffs' daughter suffers from
an inherited disorder that, on occasion, may require medical intervention. It is likely that these events
cause the parents emotional distress, though the amount and extent of the distress may not be that

1	significant given the long-standing nature of the child's condition. Nevertheless, the Court finds there		
2	are some documents that may be relevant due to the timing of the events described therein.		
3	Specifically, the Court is inclined to require the production of the following documents:		
4	1.	Bakersfield Memorial Hospital – Bates # 3, 4, 10-13;	
5	2.	Kern Medical Center Vol. 1 - Bates # 20, 22, 161-164, 182-185, 191, 193-194, 200,	
6	202, 219, 270, 273;		
7	3.	Kern Medical Center Vol. 4 - Bates # 1202-1205;	
8	4. Kern Medical Center Vol. 1 - Bates # 2-3, 120-121.		
9	Thus, the Court ORDERS :		
10	1.	No later than February 12, 2016, counsel for Plaintiff SHALL lodge a statement to	
11	JLTOrders@caed.uscourts.gov (with a cc: to opposing counsel) indicating whether Plaintiffs objects to		
12	disclosure of the documents identified above and, if they do, the grounds therefore.		
13			
14	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
15	Dated:	February 3, 2016 /s/ Jennifer L. Thurston	
16		UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
		2	