## 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | **E**

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

## EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

HALONDA NAFF, et al,	) Case No.: 1:15-cv-00515 JLT
Plaintiffs, v. STATE FARM GENERAL INSURANCE	ORDER AFTER IN CAMERA REVIEW OF RECORDS FROM BAKERSFIELD MEMORIAL HOSPITAL
COMPANY,	)
Defendant.	

The parties agreed that subpoenaed records related to Halonda Naff would be produced to the Court for an in camera review. All agreed that in the event the Court finds records that should be disclosed, it will issue an order describing the records generally and identifying them by Bates number. It will give Plaintiffs a deadline by which they will indicate whether they continue to object to the production of the records. If they do, the Court will decide whether a further conference would be fruitful or simply authorize defendant to proceed with a motion to compel. In the event the Court finds no records should be disclosed, the Court will issue an order broadly outlining its findings and indicating that the subpoenas should be quashed.

The Court has conducted the review of records produced by Bakersfield Memorial Hospital.

Bakersfield Memorial Hospital produced no records that were created during a relevant period.

Moreover, none of the records produced could have any bearing on the issues raised in this case. Thus, the Court **ORDERS**: