1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	HALONDA NAFF, et al.,) Case No.: 1:15-cv-00515 - JLT
12	Plaintiffs,) ORDER RE: JURY QUESTIONNAIRE
13	v.	
14	STATE FARM GENERAL INSURANCE COMPANY,	
15	Defendant.	
16		
17	AND RELATED CROSS-CLAIMS)
18		
19	The platiniffs contend State Farm wrongfully cancelled their insurance policy and denied their	
20	insurance claim following a house fire that caused the loss of their personal property. State Farm	
21	argues the Naffs made material misrepresentations related to their claim which justified the cancellation	
22	of their policy. State Farm asserts its actions were proper and seeks a declaration of rights in its	
23	counterclaim.	
24	The matter is set for jury trial on August 9, 2016 and State Farm wishes to use a jury	
25	questionnaire because it is concerned that there may be jurors who have strong feelings about insurance	
26	companies that, if expressed during normal voir dire, may contaminate the entire jury panel. The Naffs	
27	contend that this case is not unique and that a questionnaire is best suited for situations where jurors	
28	may be embarrassed or made uncomfortable by the responses they must give to voir dire. (Doc. 40 at	

3) Ordinarily, the Court would agree. However, counsel estimate that this trial will last seven to fifteen days. (Doc. 35 at 29, 30) Also, due to the unavailability of the Court, there will be a gap during the middle of trial. These factors will likely result in many hardship requests and a slimming of the panel of prospective jurors.

In addition, the panel of potential jurors will not be large enough to tolerate "contamination" by an overly vocal prospective juror and the Court will not have immediate access to additional jurors. Because of the potential to delay the trial, the Court concludes that a jury questionnaire will identify those who may require questioning outside of the presence fellow jurors. The Court anticipates only minimal delay caused by the use of the questionnaire and, despite the Court's earlier inclination to mail the questionnaires to the jurors, the Court has decided that the jurors will not be exposed to the content of the questionnaire until they arrive for jury service. This will minimize the risk of jurors forming any opinions about the nature of the case.

On the other hand, the Court does not find that either proposed questionnaire achieves the 13 limited goals discussed at the pretrial conference. The defendant's proposed questionnaire asks some 14 questions the answers to which pose little potential to "weed out" jurors who lack the ability to be fair 15 16 and impartial. In contrast, the plaintiffs' proposed questionnaire, while identifying those who may have significant potential for bias, fails to be broad enough to capture the bulk of this population. Thus, the 17 Court has constructed its own questionnaire, attached hereto. The questionnaire is not a substitute for 18 19 full voir dire but is intended only to alert the Court to those prospective jurors who should be examined, 20 at least in part, outside of the presence of fellow jurors.

Thus, the defendant's request to use a jury questionnaire is **GRANTED** in **PART** and the
plaintiffs' objections are **OVERRRULED**. Any objections or proposed changes to the jury
questionnaire may be filed by July 29, 2016.

25 || IT IS SO ORDERED.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

24

26

27

28

Dated: July 19, 2016

/s/ Jennifer L. Thurston UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

1	Juror Questionnaire	
2	This case involves allegations that an insurance company refused to pay an insurance claim and	
3	that the people making the insurance claim made false statements about the value of the items that were	
4	insured.	
5	To assist the parties and the Court in making jury selection as efficient as possible, please	
6	answer the following questions:	
7	1. Have you or any member of your immediate family ever been in a dispute with <u>any</u>	
8	insurance company for <u>any</u> reason including, for example, a dispute over payment of an	
9	insurance claim?	
10	Yes No If yes, please explain:	
11		
12		
13	2. Has <u>any</u> insurance company ever claimed that you or a member of your immediate family	
14	made false statements related to an insurance claim?	
15	Yes No If yes, please explain:	
16		
17		
18	3. Is there anything about your experience with insurance companies or about the allegations	
19	made in this lawsuit that would impact your ability to be fair in this case?	
20	Yes No If yes, please explain:	
21		
22		
23	I declare under penalty of perjury according to the laws of the State of California, that the	
24	foregoing is true and correct.	
25		
26	Print your full name:	
27	Signature:	
28		
	3	