1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8		
9		
10	RAYMOND R. ROBINSON,	1:15 -cv-00520-BAM (HC)
11	Petitioner,	ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR
12	v.	APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL
13	E. VALENZUELA,	(Document#2)
14	Respondent.	
15		
16	Petitioner has requested the appointm	ent of counsel. There currently exists no
17	Petitioner has requested the appointment of counsel. There currently exists no absolute right to appointment of counsel in habeas proceedings. <u>See, e.g., Anderson v.</u>	
18	Heinze, 258 F.2d 479, 481 (9th Cir. 1958); Mitchell v. Wyrick, 727 F.2d 773, 774 (8th Cir.	
19	 <u>Hemze</u>, 258 F.2d 479, 481 (Sur Ch. 1958), <u>Witcher V. Wytek</u>, 727 F.2d 775, 774 (Sur Ch. 1984). However, Title 18 U.S.C. § 3006A(a)(2)(B) authorizes the appointment of counsel at any stage of the case if "the interests of justice so require." <u>See</u> Rule 8(c), Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases. In the present case, the Court does not find that the interests of justice require the appointment of counsel at the present time. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Petitioner's request for appointment of counsel is DENIED. IT IS SO ORDERED. 	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25	Dated:	/s/ Barbara A. McAuliffe
26		UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
27		
28		
		1