1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	JOSH THOMAS,	1:15-cv-00527-LJO-GSA-PC
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND
13	VS.	RECOMMENDATIONS IN FULL (ECF No. 49.)
14	WILKINSON, et al.,	ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT
15	Defendants.	TEHRANI'S RULE 12(b)(6) MOTION TO DISMISS CLAIMS AGAINST HER AS
16		BARRED BY STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS, WITH PREJUDICE
17		(ECF No. 31.)
18		ORDER DISMISSING DEFENDANT TEHRANI FROM THIS ACTION
19		
20		
21	Josh Thomas ("Plaintiff") is a state prisoner proceeding pro se in this civil rights action	
22	pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge	

pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

On February 10, 2017, findings and recommendations were entered, recommending that defendant Tehrani's Rule 12(b)(6) motion to dismiss the claims against her as barred by the statute of limitations be granted, with prejudice, dismissing defendant Tehrani from this case. (ECF No. 49.) The parties were granted thirty days in which to file objections to the findings and recommendations. (<u>Id.</u>) To date, no objections have been filed.

1	In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 304, this	
2	court has conducted a <i>de novo</i> review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file,	
3	the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and proper	
4	analysis.	
5	Accordingly, THE COURT HEREBY ORDERS that:	
6	1.	The findings and recommendations issued on February 10, 2017, are ADOPTED
7		IN FULL;
8	2.	Defendant Tehrani's Rule 12(b)(6) motion to dismiss the claims against her as
9		barred by statute of limitations, filed on August 29, 2016, is GRANTED, with
10		prejudice;
11	3.	Defendant Tehrani is DISMISSED from this action, for Plaintiff's failure to
12		state any claims against her upon which relief may be granted; and
13	4.	This case is referred back to the Magistrate Judge for further proceedings.
14	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
15		
16	Dated:	March 22, 2017/s/ Lawrence J. O'NeillUNITED STATES CHIEF DISTRICT JUDGE
17		UNITED STATES CHIEF DISTRICT JODGE
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		