1		
2		
3		
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
5	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
6		
7	SANDRA ORTIZ, et al.,	Case No. 1:15-cv-00535-DAD-EPG
8	Plaintiffs,	ORDER TERMINATING JUAN
9	v.	SANDOVAL AS PLAINTIFF ON DOCKET
10	GERARDO ALVAREZ, et al.,	(ECF No. 90)
11	Defendants.	
12		
13	On February 21, 2017, the parties filed a stipulation dismissing JUAN SANDOVAL with	
14	prejudice. (ECF No. 90.) All parties have agreed to the stipulation. No court order was required	
15	under Rule 41 to effectuate the dismissal. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(1)(A)(ii); Wilson v. City of	
16	San Jose, 111 F.3d 688, 692 (9th Cir. 1997). Therefore, the Clerk of the Court is DIRECTED to	
17	terminate JUAN SANDOVAL on the docket as a plaintiff.	
18	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
19		
20	Dated: February 23, 2017	Is/ Encir P. Grosp
21		UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		