1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	MICHAEL PURTUE,	No. 1:15-cv-00551-DAD-SAB
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND
14	G. EBERLE, et al.,	RECOMMENDATIONS AND DENYING MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY
15	Defendants.	INJUNCTION (D. N. 20, 24)
16		(Doc. Nos. 30, 34)
17		
18	Plaintiff is appearing pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights filed action pursuant	
19	to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff filed a motion seeking a preliminary injunction on December 7,	
20	2016. (Doc. No. 30.)	
21	The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C.	
22	§ 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302. On December 16, 2016, the assigned magistrate judge issued	
23	findings and recommendations recommending that the motion for a preliminary injunction be	
24	denied. The findings and recommendations were served on the parties and contained notice that	
25	objections thereto were to be filed within thirty days. (Doc. No. 34.) Plaintiff timely filed	
26	objections on December 29, 2016. (Doc. No. 39.)	
27	In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), the undersigned has	
28	conducted a de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the	
		1

undersigned concludes the findings and recommendation are supported by the record and by proper analysis. Based on the foregoing, 1. The findings and recommendations issued on December 16, 2016 (Doc. No. 34) are adopted in full; and 2. Plaintiff's motion for a preliminary injunction filed December 7, 2016 (Doc. No. is denied. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: **April 28, 2017**