1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	MARLON ALTAMIRANO, et al.,	Case No. 1:15-cv-00607-LJO-SAB	
12	Plaintiffs,	ORDER REQUIRING PLAINTIFFS TO EITHER FILE AN EXECUTED NOTICE OF SERVICE OF SUMMONS OR SERVE THE COMPLAINT WITHIN TWENTY DAYS	
13	V.		
14	ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER, et al.,	COMITEMINT WITHIN TWENTT DATS	
15	Defendants.		
16			
17	On April 17, 2015, Plaintiffs filed a complaint alleging violations of the Eighth		
18	Amendment. (ECF No. 1.) On April 23,	2015, this action was related to Smith v.	
19	Schwarzenegger, 1:14-cv-00060-LJO-SAB (E.D. Cal), and Jackson v. State of California, 1:13-		
20	cv-01055-LJO-SAB (E.D. Cal.), and reassigned to the undersigned. (ECF No. 7.) Plaintiffs and		
21	Defendant Kelso filed a stipulation for an extension of time for him to respond to the complaint.		
22	Defendant Kelso was dismissed from this action on August 13, 2015. (ECF No. 17.)		
23	However, Plaintiffs have not filed an executed notice of service of summons, Local Rule		
24	135(c), and no other Defendant has appeared in this action.		
25	///		
26	///		
27	///		
28	///		
	1		

1	Pasad on the foregoing Plaintiffs are HEPEPV OPDEPED to either file on everyted		
	Based on the foregoing, Plaintiffs are HEREBY ORDERED to either file an executed		
2	notice of service of summons or serve Defendants in this action with a summons and copy of the		
3	complaint within twenty days of the date of service of this order.		
4			
5	IT IS SO ORDERED.	Sund A Be	
6	Dated: November 4, 2015	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
7		UNITED STATES MADISTRATE JUDGE	
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
		2	