

1  
2  
3  
4  
5 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
6 **EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**  
7

8 **DENA PHILLIPS,**

9 **Plaintiff**

10 **v.**

11 **CONVERGENT OUTSOURCING, INC.,**

12 **Defendants**

**CASE NO. 1:15-CV-616 AWI GSA**

**ORDER CLOSING CASE IN LIGHT OF  
NOTICE OF VOLUNTARY DISMISSAL  
WITH PREJUDICE**

(Doc. No. 8)

13  
14 On May 8, 2015, Plaintiff filed a notice of voluntary dismissal with prejudice. See Doc.  
15 No. 8.

16 Rule 41(a)(1), in relevant part, reads:

17 (A) . . . the plaintiff may dismiss an action without a court order by filing: (i) a  
18 notice of dismissal before the opposing party serves either an answer or a motion  
19 for summary judgment; or (ii) a stipulation of dismissal signed by all parties who  
20 have appeared. . . . (B) Unless the notice or stipulation states otherwise, the  
21 dismissal is without prejudice.

22 In *Wilson v. City of San Jose*, the Ninth Circuit explained:

23 Under Rule 41(a)(1), a plaintiff has an absolute right to voluntarily dismiss his  
24 action prior to service by the defendant of an answer or a motion for summary  
25 judgment. Concha v. London, 62 F.3d 1493, 1506 (9th Cir. 1995) (citing Hamilton  
26 v. Shearson-Lehman American Express, 813 F.2d 1532, 1534 (9th Cir. 1987)). A  
27 plaintiff may dismiss his action so long as the plaintiff files a notice of dismissal  
28 prior to the defendant's service of an answer or motion for summary judgment.  
The dismissal is effective on filing and no court order is required. Id. . . . The  
filing of a notice of voluntary dismissal with the court automatically terminates the  
action as to the defendants who are the subjects of the notice. Concha, 62 F.2d at  
1506. Unless otherwise stated, the dismissal is ordinarily without prejudice to the  
plaintiff's right to commence another action for the same cause against the same  
defendants. Id. (citing McKenzie v. Davenport-Harris Funeral Home, 834 F.2d 930,

1 934-35 (9th Cir. 1987)). Such a dismissal leaves the parties as though no action had  
2 been brought. Id.

3 Wilson v. City of San Jose, 111 F.3d 688, 692 (9th Cir. 1997).

4 No answers to Plaintiff's complaint and no motions for summary judgment have been filed  
5 in this case and it appears that no such answers or summary judgment motions have been served.  
6 Because Plaintiff has exercised her right to voluntarily dismiss her complaint with prejudice under  
7 Rule 41(a)(1), this case has terminated. See Wilson, 111 F.3d at 692.

8 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk shall CLOSE this case in light of  
9 Plaintiff's Rule 41(a)(1) voluntary dismissal with prejudice.

10  
11 IT IS SO ORDERED.

12 Dated: May 12, 2015

13   
14 \_\_\_\_\_  
15 SENIOR DISTRICT JUDGE  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28