1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
8	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9		
10	MICHAEL A. SPARKMAN,	Case No. 1:15-cv-00655-SKO (PC)
11	Plaintiff,	ORDER DIRECTING CLERK OF THE COURT TO CLOSE THIS CASE
12	v.	PURSUANT TO PLAINTIFF'S NOTICE OF VOLUNTARY DISMISSAL
13	PLEASANT VALLEY STATE PRISON, et al.,	(Doc. 10)
14	Defendants.	(Doc. 10)
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	On May 11, 2015, Plaintiff Michael A. Sparkman filed a notice of voluntary dismissal	
18	pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(1)(i). At this stage in the proceedings, Plaintiff	
19	has the absolute right to dismiss his claims, without prejudice. Duke Energy Trading and	
20	Marketing, L.L.C. v. Davis, 267 F.3d 1042, 1049 (9th Cir. 2001). The filing of the notice itself has	
21	the effect of closing the action, and the Court no longer has jurisdiction over the claims. <i>Id.</i>	
22	Accordingly, the Clerk of the Court is HEREBY DIRECTED to close this file pursuant to	
23	Plaintiff's notice of voluntary dismissal filed on May 11, 2015.	
24	IT IC CO OPPEDED	
25	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
26	Dated: <u>May 13, 2015</u>	/s/ Sheila K. Oberto UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
27		
28		
	1	