## 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 STEVE WILHELM, 1:15-cv-00679-GSA (PC) 12 Plaintiff. ORDER TRANSFERRING CASE TO THE 13 v. SACRAMENTO DIVISION OF THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 14 EDMOND G. BROWN, et al., 15 Defendants. 16 17 Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed a civil rights action pursuant to 42 18 U.S.C. § 1983, together with a request to proceed in forma pauperis pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 19 § 1915. 20 In his complaint, plaintiff alleges violations of his civil rights by defendants. Both of the 21 defendants named by Plaintiff in the complaint reside in Sacramento County, which is part of the 22 Sacramento Division of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California. 23 Therefore, the complaint should have been filed in the Sacramento Division. 24 Pursuant to Local Rule 120(f), a civil action which has not been commenced in the proper 25 court may, on the court's own motion, be transferred to the proper court. Therefore, this action 26 will be transferred to the Sacramento Division. This court will not rule on plaintiff's request to 27 proceed in forma pauperis or motion for appointment of counsel. 28

1	Good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:
2	1. This action is transferred to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of
3	California sitting in Sacramento; and
4	2. All future filings shall refer to the new Sacramento case number assigned and shall be
5	filed at:
6	United States District Court
7	Eastern District of California 501 "I" Street, Suite 4-200
8	Sacramento, CA 95814
9	3. This court has not ruled on plaintiff's request to proceed in forma pauperis or motion
10	for appointment of counsel.
11	
12	IT IS SO ORDERED.
13	Dated: May 7, 2015 /s/ Gary S. Austin UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	