1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	RACHEL LOBATO,	Case No. 1:15-cv-00686-EPG	
12	Plaintiff, v.	DRAFT SPECIAL VERDICT FORM	
13	EVERARDO O. GOMEZ.		
14	individually and doing business as El Sarape Restaurant, DOLORES B. GOMEZ,		
15	individually and doing business as El Sarape Restaurant,		
16	Defendants.		
17			
18	We, the jury, in the above-entitled action, find the following special verdict on the		
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
		1	

1	QUESTION NO. 1:
2	Has <u>Plaintiff</u> proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Plaintiff is disabled
3	within the meaning of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA)?
4	YES NO
5	(If YES, please answer question 2. If NO, enter verdict in favor of Defendants on
6	all claims.)
7	
	QUESTION NO. 2:
8	Has Plaintiff proven by a preponderance of the evidence that architectural barriers
9	exist or existed in the restaurant owned and operated by the Defendants that would have
10	prevented Plaintiff from the full and equal enjoyment of the goods, services, facilities,
11	privileges, advantages, or accommodations of the restaurant?
12	YES NO
13	(If YES, please answer question 3. If NO, enter verdict in favor of Defendants on
	all claims.)
14	
15	QUESTION NO. 3:
16	Has Plaintiff proven by a preponderance of the evidence that removal of the
17	architectural barriers at the restaurant is readily achievable?
18	YES NO
19	(If YES, please answer question 4. If NO, enter verdict in favor of Defendants on
20	all claims.)
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	QUESTION NO. 4:			
2	Has <u>Defendant</u> proven by a preponderance of the evidence that removal of the			
3	architectural barriers at the restaurant is not readily achievable?			
4	YES NO			
5	(If NO, enter verdict in favor of Plaintiff on Claim 1 and please answer question 5			
6	If YES, enter verdict in favor of Defendants on all claims.)			
7				
	QUESTION NO. 5:			
8	Has Plaintiff proven by a preponderance of the evidence that her encounter with			
9	the barrier caused her any difficulty, discomfort or embarrassment?			
10	YES NO			
11	(If YES, enter verdict in favor of Plaintiff on Claim 2. If NO, enter verdict in			
12	favor of Defendants on Claim 2.)			
13				
1.4	<u>VERDICT</u>			
14	On Claim 1, violation of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), we find in favor of			
15	(choose one):			
16	Plaintiff Rachel Lobato			
17	Defendants Everardo O. Gomez and Dolores B. Gomez.			
18				
19	On Claim 2, violation of California's Unruh Act, we find in favor of (choose one):			
20	Plaintiff Rachel Lobato			
21	Defendants Everardo O. Gomez and Dolores B. Gomez.			
22	On Claim 3, violation of California Health and Safety Code, we find in favor of (choose			
23	one):			
24	Plaintiff Rachel Lobato			
25	Defendants Everardo O. Gomez and Dolores B. Gomez.			

1		
2	Please date and sign this form.	
3		
4	Fore	eperson
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10	0	
11	1	
12	2	
13	3	
14	4	
15	5	
16	6	
17		
18	8	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25	5	