

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

KENNETH WILLIS,	)	Case No.: 1:15-cv-0688 – JLT
Plaintiff,	)	
v.	)	ORDER WITHDRAWING THE ORDER TO
	)	SHOW CAUSE DATED NOVEMBER 1, 2017
	)	(Doc. 88)
ENTERPRISE DRILLING FLUIDS, et al.,	)	ORDER DIRECTING PLAINTIFF SHOW CAUSE
Defendants.	)	IN WRITING WHY THE ACTION SHOULD NOT
	)	BE DISMISSED FOR FAILURE TO PROSECUTE
	)	AND FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH THE LOCAL
	)	RULES

Previously, the Court held a further scheduling conference with the parties, and set a pretrial conference for November 3, 2017. (Doc. 83 at 1) Pursuant to Local Rule 281, Plaintiff was to file and serve a pretrial statement “[n]ot less than fourteen (14) days before the date set by the Court for the holding of the final pretrial conference.” See Local Rule 281(a)(1). To date, a pretrial statement has not been filed, and Plaintiff has taken no other action to continue prosecuting this action.

The Local Rules, corresponding with Fed. R. Civ. P. 11, provide: “Failure of counsel or of a party to comply with the[] Rules or with any order of the Court may be grounds for the imposition by the Court of any and all sanctions . . . within the inherent power of the Court.” Local Rule 110. “District courts have inherent power to control their dockets,” and in exercising that power, a court may impose sanctions including dismissal of an action. *Thompson v. Housing Authority of Los Angeles*, 782 F.2d 829, 831 (9th Cir. 1986). A court may impose sanctions, including dismissal, for failure to prosecute an action or failure to obey a court order, or failure to comply with local rules.

1 *See, e.g. Henderson v. Duncan*, 779 F.2d 1421, 1424 (9th Cir. 1986) (dismissing the action for failure  
2 to prosecute and to comply with local rules).

3 Accordingly, the Court **ORDERS**:

- 4 1. The order to show cause directed to all parties (Doc. 88) is **WITHDRAWN**;
- 5 2. Plaintiff **SHALL** show cause within fourteen days of the date of service of this Order  
6 why the action should not be dismissed for failure to comply with the Local Rules and  
7 failure to prosecute; and
- 8 2. The pre-trial conference set for November 3, 2017 is **VACATED**.

9  
10 IT IS SO ORDERED.

11 Dated: November 1, 2017

/s/ Jennifer L. Thurston  
12 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE