

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

MIKE BAKER,  
  
                    Plaintiff,  
  
          v.  
  
S. CACOA, et al.,  
  
                    Defendants.

Case No.: 1:15-cv-00693-AWI-BAM (PC)  
  
**ORDER SETTING SETTLEMENT  
CONFERENCE ON JULY 16, 2018**  
  
Date: **July 16, 2018**  
Time: **8:30 a.m.**  
Location: **California State Prison, Corcoran**

Plaintiff Mike Baker is a state prisoner proceeding *pro se* and *in forma pauperis* in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The Court has determined that this case will benefit from a settlement conference. Therefore, this case will be referred to Magistrate Judge Stanley A. Boone to conduct a settlement conference at the California State Prison, Corcoran (CSP-COR), 4001 King Avenue, Corcoran, CA 93212 on July 16, 2018, at 8:30 a.m.

As the Plaintiff is currently incarcerated at CSP-COR, no writ of habeas corpus ad testificandum need be issued. Should Plaintiff's housing situation change, defense counsel shall notify the court so that an appropriate order may be issued.

In accordance with the above, **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that:

1. This case is set for a settlement conference before Magistrate Judge Stanley A. Boone on July 16, 2018, at CSP-COR.

///  
///  
///

- 1           2. A representative with full and unlimited authority to negotiate and enter into a binding  
2           settlement shall attend in person.<sup>1</sup>
- 3           3. Those in attendance must be prepared to discuss the claims, defenses and damages. The  
4           failure of any counsel, party or authorized person subject to this order to appear in person  
5           may result in the imposition of sanctions. In addition, the conference will not proceed and  
6           will be reset to another date.
- 7           4. Defendants shall provide a confidential settlement statement to the following email  
8           address: **saborders@caed.uscourts.gov**. Plaintiff shall mail his confidential settlement  
9           statement to U.S. District Court, 2500 Tulare Street, Fresno, California, 93721,  
10           **“Attention: Magistrate Judge Stanley A. Boone.”** The envelope shall be marked  
11           **“Confidential Settlement Statement”**. Settlement statements shall arrive no later than July  
12           9, 2018. Parties shall also file a Notice of Submission of Confidential Settlement  
13           Statement (See Local Rule 270(d)). Settlement statements **should not be filed** with the  
14           Clerk of the Court **nor served on any other party**. Settlement statements shall be clearly  
15           marked “confidential” with the date and time of the settlement conference indicated  
16           prominently thereon.
- 17           5. The confidential settlement statement shall be **no longer than five pages** in length, typed  
18           or neatly printed, and include the following:
- 19                 a. A brief statement of the facts of the case.

---

21           <sup>1</sup> While the exercise of its authority is subject to abuse of discretion review, “the district court has the authority  
22           to order parties, including the federal government, to participate in mandatory settlement conferences . . . .”  
23           *United States v. United States District Court for the Northern Mariana Islands*, 694 F.3d 1051, 1053, 1057,  
24           1059 (9th Cir. 2012) (“the district court has broad authority to compel participation in mandatory settlement  
25           conference[s].”). The term “full authority to settle” means that the individuals attending the mediation  
26           conference must be authorized to fully explore settlement options and to agree at that time to any settlement  
27           terms acceptable to the parties. *G. Heileman Brewing Co., Inc. v. Joseph Oat Corp.*, 871 F.2d 648, 653 (7th  
28           Cir. 1989), *cited with approval in Official Airline Guides, Inc. v. Goss*, 6 F.3d 1385, 1396 (9th Cir. 1993). The  
          individual with full authority to settle must also have “unfettered discretion and authority” to change the  
          settlement position of the party, if appropriate. *Pitman v. Brinker Int’l., Inc.*, 216 F.R.D. 481, 485-86 (D. Ariz.  
          2003), *amended on recon. in part, Pitman v. Brinker Int’l., Inc.*, 2003 WL 23353478 (D. Ariz. 2003). The  
          purpose behind requiring the attendance of a person with full settlement authority is that the parties’ view of the  
          case may be altered during the face to face conference. *Pitman*, 216 F.R.D. at 486. An authorization to settle  
          for a limited dollar amount or sum certain can be found not to comply with the requirement of full authority to  
          settle. *Nick v. Morgan’s Foods, Inc.*, 270 F.3d 590, 596-97 (8th Cir. 2001).

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

- b. A brief statement of the claims and defenses, i.e., statutory or other grounds upon which the claims are founded; a forthright evaluation of the parties' likelihood of prevailing on the claims and defenses; and a description of the major issues in dispute.
  - c. An estimate of the cost and time to be expended for further discovery, pretrial, and trial.
  - d. The party's position on settlement, including present demands and offers and a history of past settlement discussions, offers, and demands.
  - e. A brief statement of each party's expectations and goals for the settlement conference, including how much a party is willing to accept and/or willing to pay.
6. The Clerk of the Court is directed to serve a copy of this order on the Litigation Office at CSP-COR via facsimile at (559) 992-7372.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: May 18, 2018

/s/ Barbara A. McAuliffe  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE