(PC) King v. Cal	stro	Doc. 55
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	ALTON KING,) Case No.: 1:15-cv-00698-AWI-SAB (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS, GRANTING IN PART AND DENYING IN PART DEFENDANT CALISTRO'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
13	V.	
14	CALISTRO,	
15	Defendant.	
16		(ECF Nos. 34, 53)
17	Plaintiff Alton King is appearing pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action	
18	pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983.	
19	The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §	
20	636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302. On September 14, 2017, the Magistrate Judge filed a Findings and	
21	Recommendations recommending that Defendant Calistro's motion for summary judgment be granted	
22	as to Plaintiff's claim of deliberate indifference and denied as to Plaintiff's claim of retaliation. The	
23	Findings and Recommendations were served on the parties and contained notice that objections were	
24	to be filed within thirty days. Plaintiff filed objections on October 17, 2017.	
25	In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), the Court has conducted a de	
26	novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, including Plaintiff's objections,	
27	the Court finds the Findings and Recommendations to be supported by the record and by proper	
28	analysis.	
		1

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. The Findings and Recommendations, filed on September 14, 2017, are adopted in full;
- 2. Defendant Calistro's motion for summary judgment is granted as to Plaintiff's claim for deliberate indifference and denied as to Plaintiff's claim for retaliation; and
- 3. The matter is referred back to the assigned Magistrate Judge for trial scheduling on Plaintiff's retaliation claim.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: November 30, 2017

SENIOR DISTRICT JUDGE