

CHAIN | COHN | STILES

Matthew C. Clark, Esq. (SBN 218784) | mclark@chainlaw.com  
Neil K. Gehlawat, Esq. (SBN 289388) | ngehlawat@chainlaw.com  
1731 Chester Avenue  
Bakersfield, CA 93301  
Telephone: (661) 323-4000  
Facsimile: (661) 324-1352

LAW OFFICES OF DALE K. GALIPO

Dale K. Galipo, Esq. (SBN 144074) | dalekgalipo@yahoo.com  
21800 Burbank Boulevard, Suite 310  
Woodland Hills, CA 91367  
Telephone: (818) 347-3333  
Facsimile: (818) 347-4118

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

D.G., a minor, by and through his guardian ad litem, Denise Bonilla, individually and as successor-in-interest to David Garcia, deceased; D.E.G., a minor, by and through her guardian ad litem, Denise Bonilla, individually and as successor-in-interest to David Garcia, deceased; G.D., a minor, by and through her guardian ad litem, Denise Bonilla, individually and as successor-in-interest to David Garcia, deceased;  
RAMONA RAMIREZ NUNEZ,  
individually,

Plaintiffs,

v.

COUNTY OF KERN; DOES 1 through 10,

Defendants.

CASE NO. 1:15-CV-00760-JAM-JLT

**STIPULATION FOR DISMISSAL  
WITH PREJUDICE; ORDER  
THEREON**

Pursuant to Rule 41(a) of the Rules of Civil Procedure, the parties hereby stipulate that the Complaint filed on May 14, 2015 be dismissed with prejudice, each party to bear their own attorney fees and costs.

DATED: June 28, 2017

CHAIN | COHN | STILES

*/s/ Neil K. Gehlawat*

BY: \_\_\_\_\_

Matthew C. Clark  
Neil K. Gehlawat  
Attorney for Plaintiff

DATED: June 28, 2017

LAW OFFICES OF DALE K. GALIPO

*/s/ Dale K. Galipo*

BY: \_\_\_\_\_

Dale K. Galipo  
Attorney for Plaintiff

DATED: June 28, 2017

COUNTY COUNSEL, COUNTY OF KERN

*/s/ Andrew Thomson*

BY: \_\_\_\_\_

Andrew Thomson  
Attorney for Defendant, COUNTY OF  
KERN

---

**ORDER**

As noted above, the parties have stipulated to dismiss this action with prejudice and with each party to bear their own attorney fees and costs. The stipulation relies on Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a) which provides, “the plaintiff may dismiss an action without a court order by filing: ... a stipulation of dismissal signed by all parties who have appeared.” ... “Fed. R. Civil. P. 41(a). Once such notice has been filed, an order of the Court is not required to make the dismissal effective. Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(1)(ii); Wilson v. City of San Jose, 111 F.3d 688, 692 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1997). Thus, the Clerk of the Court is DIRECTED to close this action in light of the stipulation signed pursuant to Rule 41(a).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: 6/29/2017

/s/ John A. Mendez

JOHN A. MENDEZ

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

---