1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	LAKEITH L. MCCOY,	No. 1:15-cv-00768-DAD-MJS
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS, REVOKING PLAINTIFF'S IN FORMA PAUPERIS
14	A. HOLGUIN, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	STATUS, AND DIRECTING PLAINTIFF TO PAY FILING FEE
16		(Doc. Nos. 44, 56)
17		
18	Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding <i>pro se</i> in this civil rights action filed pursuant to 42	
19	U.S.C. § 1983. On July 14, 2015, plaintiff was granted leave to proceed in forma pauperis. (Doc.	
20	No. 9.)	
21	On March 7, 2017, the assigned magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations	
22	recommending defendants' motion to revoke in forma pauperis status be granted and plaintiff be	
23	directed to pay the filing fee in full. (Doc. Nos. 44, 56.) Plaintiff filed an opposition to	
24	defendants' motion on March 9, 2017 and filed objections to the findings and recommendations	
25	on March 23, 2017. (Doc. Nos. 57, 58.)	
26	In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), the undersigned has	
27	conducted a <i>de novo</i> review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, including	
28	plaintiff's objections, the undersigned concludes the findings and recommendations are supported	

	\mathbf{d}	
1	by the record and by proper analysis. Plaintiff's contention that he falls within the imminent	
2	danger exception of 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g) because he continues to experience health problems	
3	from an alleged assault occurring more than two years ago is unpersuasive. A plaintiff must	
4	allege "an ongoing danger" in order to meet the imminent danger exception to § 1915(g).	
5	Andrews v. Cervantes, 493 F.3d 1047, 1056–57 (9th Cir. 2007).	
6	Accordingly:	
7	1. The court adopts the findings and recommendations filed on March 7, 2017 (Doc. No.	
8	56) in full;	
9	2. Defendants' January 9, 2017 motion to revoke plaintiff's in forma pauperis status (Co.	
10	No. 44) is granted;	
11	3. Plaintiff's in forma pauperis status (Doc. No. 9) is revoked;	
12	4. Plaintiff is directed to pay the required \$400 filing fee in connection with this civil	
13	action within fourteen (14) days of the date of this Order; and	
14	5. Plaintiff's failure to pay the filing fee or otherwise respond to this Court order will	
15	result in the dismissal of this action.	
16	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
17	Dated: May 11, 2017	
18	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
2627		
<i>- 1</i>	d	