

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
7 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
8

9  
10 GINA CARUSO,

11 Plaintiff,

12 v.

13 OFFICER G. SOLORIO, et al.,

14 Defendants.

Case No. 1:15-cv-00780-AWI-EPG (PC)

ORDER ALLOWING THE WARDEN OF CALIFORNIA INSTITUTION FOR WOMEN AND THE WARDEN OF CENTRAL CALIFORNIA WOMEN'S FACILITY TO RESPOND TO PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO MODIFY ORDER RE: LEGAL VISITS (ECF NO. 367)

ORDER DIRECTING CLERK TO SERVE A COPY OF THIS ORDER AND THE MOTION (ECF NO. 367) ON THE WARDEN OF CALIFORNIA INSTITUTION FOR WOMEN

ORDER VACATING AUGUST 12, 2022, HEARING

15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20 On July 5, 2022, Plaintiff filed a motion to modify order re: legal visits. (ECF No. 367).  
21 Plaintiff asks the Court to modify its order requiring confidential contact visits and telephone  
22 calls with Plaintiff's counsel. (ECF No. 367).

23 As Plaintiff is asking the Court to modify an order directed to the Warden of California  
24 Institution for Women, the Warden of Central California Women's Facility, and other  
25 correctional staff, the Court will allow the Wardens to file a response to the motion within  
26 fourteen days from the date of service of this order if they choose to do so.<sup>1</sup> Additionally, if  
27

28 <sup>1</sup> While the Court is not requiring the Wardens to file a response, if no response is received, the Court may grant Plaintiff's motion without further notice.

1 Defendants want to file a response to the motion, Defendants shall do so no later than fourteen  
2 days from the date of service of this order.<sup>2</sup> Plaintiff has seven days from the date of service of  
3 each response to file her reply.

4 Additionally, as the Court finds that a hearing on the motion will not be beneficial, once  
5 briefing is complete, the Court will rule on the papers without a hearing.

6 Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that:

- 7 1. The hearing on Plaintiff's motion to modify order re: legal visits, which is set  
8 for August 12, 2022, is VACATED;
- 9 2. Within fourteen (14) days from the date of service of this order, the Warden of  
10 California Institution for Women and the Warden of Central California  
11 Women's Facility may file a response to Plaintiff's motion (ECF No. 367);
- 12 3. Within fourteen (14) days from the date of service of this order, Defendants may  
13 file a response to Plaintiff's motion;
- 14 4. Plaintiff has seven days from the date of service of each response to file her  
15 reply; and
- 16 5. The Clerk of Court is directed to serve the Warden of California Institution for  
17 Women and the Warden of Central California Women's Facility with a copy of  
18 this order and Plaintiff's motion (ECF No. 367).

19  
20 IT IS SO ORDERED.

21 Dated: July 7, 2022

22 /s/ Eric P. Grogan  
23 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  
24  
25  
26  
27

---

28 <sup>2</sup> Based on Plaintiff's motion, the parties agree that the requested legal visits are warranted. (ECF No. 367, p. 3). However, the parties do not agree that a court order is required. (Id.).