1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 BRIAN APPLEGATE, No. 1:15-cv-00811 DLB 12 NOTICE OF THIS COURT'S EXPEDITED Plaintiff. TRIAL SETTING PROCEDURES UPON 13 CONSENT OF ALL PARTIES v. 14 CHRISTIAN TRAUSCH, 15 Defendant. 16 17 Due to the extraordinarily high case load of the district court judges in this district, trials 18 in civil rights actions concerning prison conditions are often conducted by United States 19 Magistrate Judges with the consent of all the parties. A trial conducted by a magistrate judge is 20 far more likely to proceed on a scheduled trial date. Presently, when a civil trial is set before a 21 district judge, any criminal trial which conflicts with the civil trial will take priority, even if the civil trial date was set first. Thus, a civil trial set before a district judge is often trailed day to day 22 or week to week until the completion of the matter occupying the district court. Consenting to the 23 24 jurisdiction of a magistrate judge for all purposes will generally expedite the resolution of an action. 25 26 The parties are therefore reminded of the availability of a United States Magistrate Judge 27 to conduct all proceedings in this action. A United States Magistrate Judge is available to 28 conduct trials, including entry of final judgment, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c), Federal Rule of

26

27

28

prior to the first day of trial.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Even if the parties decline consent, under Local Rule 302 the assigned magistrate judge will still conduct a number of pretrial matters.