1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	GERALD L. TUCKER,	1:15 -cv-00829-JLT (HC)
12	Petitioner,	ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL
13	v.	(Doc. 3)
14	DANIEL PARAMO,	(Doc. 3)
15	Respondent.	
16		
17	Petitioner has requested the appointment of counsel. There currently exists no absolute	
18	right to appointment of counsel in habeas proceedings. See, e.g., Anderson v. Heinze, 258 F.2d	
19	479, 481 (9th Cir. 1958); Mitchell v. Wyrick, 727 F.2d 773, 774 (8th Cir. 1984). However, Title	
20	18 U.S.C. § 3006A(a)(2)(B) authorizes the appointment of counsel at any stage of the case if "the	
21	interests of justice so require." See Rule 8(c), Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases. Here, the	
22	Court does not find that the interests of justice require the appointment of counsel at the present	
23	time. Accordingly, Petitioner's request for appointment of counsel is DENIED.	
24	IT IS SO ORDERED	
25	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
26	Dated: June 16, 2015	/s/ Jennifer L. Thurston UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
27		
28		