UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NATHAN CAETANO, Petitioner, v. S. PEERY, Respondent.	1:15 -cv-00832-LJO-MJS (HC) ORDER DENYING MOTIONS FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL (Docs. 3, 9)

Petitioner has requested the appointment of counsel. There currently exists no absolute right to appointment of counsel in habeas proceedings. See, e.g., Anderson v. Heinze, 258 F.2d 479, 481 (9th Cir. 1958); Mitchell v. Wyrick, 727 F.2d 773, 774 (8th Cir. 1984). However, Title 18 U.S.C. § 3006A(a)(2)(B) authorizes the appointment of counsel at any stage of the case if "the interests of justice so require." See Rule 8(c), Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases. In the present case, the Court does not find that the interests of justice require the appointment of counsel at the present time. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Petitioner's requests for appointment of counsel are DENIED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: July 2, 2015

/s/ Michael J. Seng
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE