	1	
1		
2	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
3	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
4		
5	GEORGE CAMACHO DELGADO,	1:15-CV-841-LJO-JLT
6	Plaintiff,	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS (Doc. 4) AND
7	v.	REMANDING ACTION TO KERN COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT AND
8	BRANDON J. ELLIS, DANIEL CRAIG DOLBEC,	DENYING DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS
9	Defendants.	(Doc. 2)
10		
11	On June 8, 2015, the Magistrate Judge issued Findings and Recommendations that this	
12	action be remanded to Kern County Superior Court. These Findings and Recommendations were served	
	on all parties appearing in this action and included notice that any objections to the Findings and	
13	Recommendations were to be filed within fourteen (14) court days of the date of service of the order.	
14	More than fourteen (14) court days have passed and no party has filed objections.	
15	In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b)(1)(C), this Court has conducted a de	
16	novo review of the case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the Court finds that the Findings and	
17	Recommendations are supported by the record and proper analysis. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY	
18	ORDERED that this case be REMANDED to the Kern County Superior Court, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §	
19	1447(c), for lack of federal subject matter jurisdiction. Defendant's motion to proceed in forma pauperis	
20	is DENIED as moot. The Clerk of Court is directed to take necessary action to remand this case to the	
21	·	
22	Kern County Superior Court, and also is directed to CLOSE this case.	
23	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
24	Dated: June 25, 2015 UN	/s/ Lawrence J. O'Neill NITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
25		
	1	