

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

ANTHONIA WASHINGTON,
Plaintiff,

v.

FRESNO COUNTY SHERIFF; and
FRESNO COUNTY JAIL,
Defendants.

**Case No. 1:15-cv-00854---GSA
ORDER GRANTING IN FORMA
PAUPERIS APPLICATION**

(ECF No. 2)

Plaintiff Anthonia Washington (“Plaintiff”) filed a complaint on June 5, 2015 and an application to proceed *in forma pauperis* on that same day. (ECF Nos. 1, 2). Plaintiff has made the required showing pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a). Accordingly, the request to proceed *in forma pauperis* is GRANTED.

As to the status of her complaint, Plaintiff is advised that pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2), the court must conduct an initial review of every pro se complaint to determine whether it is legally sufficient under the applicable pleading standards. The court must dismiss a complaint, or portion thereof, if the court determines that the complaint is legally frivolous or malicious, fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted, or seeks monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from such relief. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2). If the court determines that

1 the complaint fails to state a claim, leave to amend may be granted to the extent that the
2 deficiencies in the complaint can be cured by amendment. Plaintiff's complaint will be screened
3 in due course.
4

5 IT IS SO ORDERED.

6 Dated: June 9, 2015

/s/ Gary S. Austin
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28